



# Assessing health literacy competencies: A randomized pilot comparing two teaching approaches at the BSN level

Kempa (Kim) French, MSN, RN, FNP-BC

Doctoral Candidate, MUSC; Associate Professor of Nursing, APSU



## INTRODUCTION

- ❖ Patients with limited literacy have poorer health outcomes, higher emergency room use & hospitalization rates and higher illness rates than those with adequate literacy (Berkman et al., 2011).
- ❖ **Universal Health Literacy Precautions** approach recommended using evidence-based communication practices with all patients, regardless of literacy level (DHHS-ODPHP, 2010)
- ❖ Nurses should be prepared during their education to intervene with patients at all literacy levels to promote patient-centered collaborations (Coleman et al. 2012; Zarcadoolas, Pleasant & Greer, 2006)

## AIMS , PARTICIPANTS, STUDY FLOW

Two phase, two group experimental pilot study aiming to:

- ❖ **Compare** theoretical HL teaching strategies
  - **Functional:** Pt. & material literacy screening, Written material simplification
  - **Multidimensional (MDM):** Pt. preferences, Plain talk, Active listening, Teach Back
- ❖ **Create** HL competencies tool
- ❖ **Evaluate** tool reliability and validity trends with expert & stakeholder feedback, ratings and instrument validity comparisons
- ❖ **9** Participants recruited after IRB approval, Systematic randomization to 2 groups
  - 3 recent BSN graduates, 6 nursing faculty
  - 22.8 % Black/African-American, 77.2% White; all female
- ❖ Work experience: 0 – 45 years
- ❖ Nursing degrees: BSN to DSN/ EdD

## METHODS

- ❖ Pre-intervention **HL experiences:** Health Literacy Experiences Survey (HLE-S; Cormier & Kotrlik, 2009); 9 Likert scaled item (1- 4): *rarely* = 1 to *always* = 4
- ❖ Pre-and post-intervention **HL knowledge** levels: HL Knowledge Survey (HLK-S; Cormier & Kotrlik, 2009): 29 multiple choice items: % *correct* (0 – 100)
- ❖ Pre-and post-intervention **Communication** ratings Kalamazoo Essential Elements Communication Competencies-Adapted (KEECC-A; Rider & Nawotniak, 2010): 7 Likert-scaled items (1- 5): *poor*=1 to *excellent*=5
- ❖ Pre-and post-intervention **HL– related behavior** ratings: Health Literacy Patient-Nurse Interaction Competencies Evaluation (HLP-NICE), 20 Likert-scaled items (0 – 4); 0 = *not observed* to 4= *excellent*+ *N/A*

## RESULTS

- ❖ Participant recall of past HL **Experiences:** pt. literacy screening, material evaluations or teach back use occurred “*rarely*” to “*sometimes*” ( $M=1.889, 1.44 -2.67$ ) with no association noted between HL experiences & HL knowledge gains ( $r_s = -.072, p = .427$ )
- ❖ **HL Knowledge** did not significantly change (WSR,  $p = .312$ ). HL Knowledge incr. for 5 of the 9 participants (55.56%). MDM grp knowledge incr. more than Functional (U 2.000,  $p = .032$ ).
- ❖ **Communication** and **HL competencies** incr. for all participants (WSR,  $p = .008$ ). No sig. differences were noted between HL-related competences of both grps (U 6.000,  $p = .183$ ), but Functional grp did incr more in communication competencies U .500,  $p = 0,016$ ).

## CONCLUSIONS

- ❖ Recall of HL-related clinical experiences is similar to past reports (Cormier & Kotrlik, 2009) suggesting inconsistent and limited use of HL evidence in clinical practice
- ❖ **HL-related behaviors** can be improved short-term without over-focusing on HL knowledge gains
- ❖ **Strengths:** Experimental design, innovative & diverse teaching strategies (online module, Assess- Compare-Teach-Survey framework, standardized patients at non-medical center academic site) .
- ❖ **Limitations-** Restricted generalizability due to small size and homogeneous sample
- ❖ Educating nurses in HL competencies is feasible, cost effective and timely, with ongoing research needed to implement Universal Health Literacy Precautions (USDHHS- ODPHP, 2010) recommendations.

## REFERENCES

- Berkman, N.D., Sheridan, S.L., Donahue, E.E., Halperin, D.J., Viera, A., Crotty, K., et al. (2011). Health literacy interventions and outcomes: An updated systematic review (Evidence Report/Technology Assessment No. 199, Pub No 11-E006). Released March, 2011. Accessed September 27, 2012 from Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality website: <http://www.ahrq.gov/downloads/pub/evidence/pdf/literacy/literacyup.pdf>
- Coleman C, Hudson S, Maine, LL. (2013). Health literacy practices and educational competencies for health professionals: A consensus study. *Journal of Health Commun: International Perspectives*. 18: suppl 1: 81 – 102. DOI: 10.1080/10810730.2013.839538
- Cormier, C. M., & Kotrlik, J. W. (2009). Health literacy knowledge and experiences of senior baccalaureate nursing students. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 48, 237 -248.
- Rider E. A. Interpersonal and Communication Skills. In: Rider EA and Nawotniak R.H. A Practical Guide for Teaching and Assessing the ACGME Core Competencies, Second Edition. Marblehead, MA: HCPro, Inc., 2010, pp. 1-137.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. [DHHS, ODPHP]. (2010). *National action plan to improve health literacy*. Washington, DC: Author Rider & Nawotniak (2010)
- Zarcadoolas, C., Pleasant, A., & Greer, D. (2005). Understanding health literacy: an expanded model. *Health Promotion International*, 30(2). DOI: 10.1093/heapro/dah609

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS / CONTACT

Sigma Theta Tau International and ATI Technologies, Inc.  
Dr. Charlene Pope, Dr. Gaynell Magwood, Dr. Bonnie Dumas, Dr. Kevin Harris and the Literate PeayNuts research team members

Medical University of South Carolina College of Nursing  
Austin Peay State University School of Nursing

**Contact:** Kim French [frenchk@apsu.edu](mailto:frenchk@apsu.edu); 931-221-7528

