Title:

Active Teaching Strategies for a Sense of Salience: End-of-Life Communication

Mary Louisa Kopp

School of Nursing, Concordia University Wisconsin, Mequon, WI, USA

Session Title:

End-of-Life

Slot:

1C: Friday, April 4, 2014: 10:15 AM-11:30 AM

Scheduled Time:

10:15 AM

Keywords:

Active Teaching Strategies, Communication and End-of-Life

References:

Arthur, D. (1999). Assessing nursing students' basic communication and interviewing skills: the development and testing of a rating scale. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 29(3), 658-665. Benner, P., Sutphen, M., Leonard, V., & Day, L. (2010). Educating nurses: A call for radical transformation. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass. Dunne, K. (2005). Effective communication in palliative care. Nursing Standard, 20(13), 57-64. Fink, L. D. (2013). Creating significant learning experiences: An integrated approach to designing college courses. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass. Malloy, P., Virani, R., Kelly, K., & Munevar, C. (2010). Beyond bad news: Communication skills of nurses in palliative care. Journal of Hospice and Palliative Nursing, 12(3), 166-174. Wittenberg-Lyles, E., Goldsmith, J., Ferrell, B., & Ragan, S. L. (2013). Communication in palliative nursing. New York, NY: Oxford University. Yeaworth, R. C., Kapp, F. T., & Winget, C. (1974). Questionnaire for Understanding the Dying Person and His/Her Family. Nursing Research, 23, 20-24.

Learning Activity:

LEARNING OBJECTIV ES	EXPANDED CONTENT OUTLINE	TIME ALLOT TED	FACULTY/SP EAKER	TEACHING/LE ARNING METHOD	EVALUATION/F EEDBACK
Example	Example	Exampl	Example	Example	Example
Cuitiana	Definitions of	e	Nome	Lastura	Cassa discussion.
Critique	Definitions of		Name,	Lecture	Group discussion:
selected	"curriculum"	20	Credentials	PowerPoint	What does cultural
definition of		minutes		presentation	training mean to
the term,	• Cours			Participant	you?
"curriculum"	e of			feedback	
	study				
	• Arran				
	geme				
	nts of				
	instru				
	ctiona				
	1				

	materi als The subjec t matter that is taught Cultur al "traini ng" Plann ed engag ement of learne rs				
Compare significant learning, active teaching, and traditional passive lecture	Definitions: traditional passive lecture, active learning/teac hing & sigificant learning according to Fink (2013). Relate Fink (2013) active teaching to Blooms taxonomy, Decreases the pardigm shift in the classroom, alignment with clnical	15 miniutes	Dr. Mary Lou Kopp, PhD, MSN, RN, CHPN, CNE	Pre-assessment discussion. Lecture Power point presentation Participation feedback	Group discussion: Comparision of pre- assessment discussion, importance of significant leanring for a sense of salience.
Recognize the importance of teaching	Problems with passive lecture: producing a	15 minutes	Dr. Mary Lou Kopp, PhD, MSN, RN, CHPN, CNE	Lecture Power point presentation Provide examples of each: Cogntive	Discuss challenges and importance of teaching end-of-life communication, and

End-of-Life	sense of		(written exam),	assessing all 3
communicati	salience;	a	affective	learning domains
onskills with	difficulty		journaling, self-	_
a sense of	nature of	a	assessment,	
salience	teaching end-	s	surveys) and	
	of-life	r	psychomotor	
	communicati	a	assessment	
	on.		(simulation):	
	Foundation to	l li	including	
	excellent	s	simulation video.	
	nursing care	F	Participation	
	at end-of-life.	f	feedback	

Abstract Text:

The problem addressed was twofold: First, passive lecture prevents transfer to situational decision-making, or a sense of salience (Benner, Sutphen, Leonard, & Day, 2010). Nursing education pedagogy is in need of revision. Many nurse educators teach from tacit, or experience in how they were originally taught. Nurse educators must prioritize utilization of educational science. Active teaching models are meant to encourage a sense of salience by integrating theory and practice (Benner et al., 2010). However, the inconsistent nature of active learning definitions prevents generalization of research findings. Fink (2013) provided a structured definition for active teaching strategies that compliments learning domains created by Bloom, Englehart, Furst, Hill, and Krathwohl (1956). Second, death discussions are more complicated than standard communication courses teach. The COMFORT model (Wittenberg-Lyles, Goldsmith, Ferrell, & Ragan, 2013), was validated for nursing education.

This study compared active teaching strategies with passive lecture by evaluating cognitive, affective, and psychomotor learning outcomes, while highlighting the need for end-of-life communication in nursing education. The design was comparative, quasi-experimental, and posttest-only with control. Instruments included a multiple-choice test (Malloy, Virani, Kelly, & Munevar, 2010), a survey measuring openness toward end-of-life communication (*Questionnaire for Understanding the Dying Person and His/Her Family*, Yeaworth, Kapp, & Winget, 1974), and an observational checklist called the *Simulated Client End-of-Life Communication Scale*- (SCEOLCS), revised from the *Simulated Client Interview Rating Scale* (Arthur, 1999). Significant psychomotor differences were revealed (t(46) = -5.65, p=<.001). The SCEOLCS demonstrated internal consistency (a =.902). Active teaching strategies improved the nursing student's sense of salience during end-of-life communication. Ultimately, nursing students were better prepared for one of their most underestimated and rewarding roles, caring for dying patients and their families.