

A Method to Enhance Nurses Knowledge About Falls Reduction Strategies

Jennifer Kitchens, MSN, RN, CVRN; Shaunte Moore, BSN, RN; & Lisa Johnson, BSN, RN Wishard-Eskenazi Health, Indianapolis, Indiana

PROBLEM

- Nursing knowledge plays an important role in preventing and managing falls in acute hospitals.
- An educational needs assessment indicated a falls educational intervention was needed for the medical surgical and correctional health care nurses at a large county hospital.

PURPOSE

 To increase knowledge about the hospital's fall policy in medical surgical and correctional health care nurses via implementation of a falls educational intervention

BACKGROUND

- The educational needs assessment was led by a clinical educator and a clinical nurse specialist (CNS).
- Staff nurses, management, clinical education and CNS all participated in the educational needs assessment.
- All who participated in the educational needs assessment agreed that a falls educational intervention was needed.
- Additional supporting information included audits that revealed fall risk interventions were not being implemented consistently, and, per Risk Management, there were improperly completed fall causation reports and other documentation issues that needed to be addressed.

Fall Post-Test

METHODS

- The falls educational intervention was designed by a CNS and two staff nurses.
- The falls educational intervention consisted of an educational poster based directly from hospital policy and included:
 - •Fall risk assessment
 - •High-risk alert requirements
 - •Interventions
 - •Contributing medications
 - Patient/family educationProcedure post-fall
- Pre- and post-tests were administered to assess knowledge, and a survey was administered to further assess the falls educational intervention.
- The survey was a 4-point Likert Scale with 1-strongly disagree and 4-strongly agree (higher scores equaling a more positive response).

RESULTS

- Twenty-four nurses completed the pre-test, and 72 completed the post-test
- Out of the 72 nurses who completed the post-test, 24 of them also completed the pre-test.
- For question number three, "List four items that alert other hospital personnel that patient is a fall risk," the mean pre-test score was 54%, and the mean post-test score was 100%.
- Question number four evaluated proper fall risk
- For question number four, the mean pre-test score was 33% and the mean post-test score was 75%.
- Seventy-one out of the seventy-two participants approached completed the survey.
- Three surveys were eliminated due to duplicate circling of answers for a total of LB remaining.
- The mean survey score was 3.28.
- For survey item, "The information I received from the Falls poster will help me assess my patients for fall risk more accurately" the mean survey score was 3.38.

Falls Poster Survey

Falls Poster Survey Please circle the response that most represents how you feel 1. The information I received from the Falls poster will help me assess my Strongly Agree Agree Strongly Disagree 3. The Falls poster was easy to understand. Strongly Agree Agree Strongly Disagree 4. I feel my overall knowledge about falls has increased. Strongly Agree Disagree Agree Strongly Disagree 5. I am more aware of what medications may contribute to falls. Strongly Agree Agree Strongly Disagree

CONCLUSIONS

- Descriptive statistical findings indicate the poster may have an impact on pre- and post-test scores.
- Thus, there is a need for further analysis.

IMPLICATIONS

- Further education of the fall risk tool may be
- A t-test for unequal groups, correlations, additional descriptive statistics will be applied to questions to determine their relevance.

REFERENCES

- Bonner et al. (2007). A student led demonstration project on fall prevention in a long-term care facility.
- Mitchell, E. & Lawes, H. (2008). Falls education for practitioners: Auditing a three tier learning approach. Nursing Older People. 20(1): 27 -
- Peterson, R. & Burns, S. (2006). Prevention and education to decrease patient falls due to syncope. Journal of Nursing Care Quality. 21(4): 331 - 334.