## 38 vs 38.5 C?



# **SIRS Indicator for Pediatric Sepsis Screening**



Kerry A. Gold, BSN, CCRN, CEN, MICN • Carson Del Greco, BS • Summer Gupta, RN, MSN • Leah T. Nubla, MSN, RN, CPHQ
Ashley Trueblood, RN, BSN, CCRN, CPHQ

#### Purpose

- Sepsis, severe sepsis, and septic shock (hereafter referred to collectively as sepsis) are significant contributors to pediatric morbidity and mortality.
- In 2005, international guidelines were developed recommending the upper-temperature threshold of 38.5C as a systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) criterion to screen for pediatric sepsis<sup>1</sup>.
- This review examined our institution's more sensitive limit of 38.0C for pediatric sepsis screenings to determine if a more sensitive threshold was supported by clinical evidence as a positive predictor for the presence of sepsis.

#### Design

 This quality assurance project was a retrospective analysis of patient data to determine if the adoption of international guidelines over our institution's standard would influence the sensitivity and specificity of a nursing-administered pediatric sepsis screening tool.

#### Setting

 The settings were a tertiary/quaternary academic medical center and a complex teaching hospital providing comprehensive pediatric care, ranging from routine services to highly-specialized treatment for acute and complex diagnoses.

### Participants/Subjects

- The population comprised of pediatric patients (<18 years old)
   admitted in the calendar year 2017 who had a positive sepsis screen.</li>
- For inclusion, a patient must have two or more SIRS criteria and suspected or confirmed infection.
- The first positive screen for any given encounter was examined
- This dataset was cross-referenced with a secondary query of al patients with a positive blood culture(s), regardless of sepsis screening status.
- Patients in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit and those lacking abnormal temperatures were excluded.
- All patient data were reviewed within the encrypted and HIPAA compliant infrastructure of the health system.

#### Methods

- This was a retrospective review of patient records meeting inclusion criteria queried from an Epic Clarity database.
- Temperature value captured concurrently with sepsis screen preferred.
   Median absolute time from screening to temperature capture = two
- Patients identified with abnormal temperatures and a positive screen were compared using the presence of sepsis ICD-10 codes, positive blood cultures, and physician clinical impressions to determine which patients had "true" sepsis incidents and whether patients would be missed with a different
- Inter-rater reliability was maintained through the use of consistent abstractors.

Of the 135 total patients who would be "missed" by less sensitive temperature ranges, how many would have been captured anyways due to 2 or more additional SIRS?



Positive Screen + Positive BC

temperature threshold.



7.24 Total Positive Screens
4.45 ad abnormal temps
135 would be "missed" by new temp range
38 would be captured anyway, due to >2 SMS
97 would be missed entrely
(12 captured, 21 missed) - Screen & ICD10 code
(8 captured, 8 missed) - Screen & BC

#### Overall missed: 13.4% from total;

13.4% from total positive screens (97/724) 21.0% from positive screens with abnormal temp (97/462)

\*Disclaimer: It is not possible to determine how often nurses are documenting only 2 SIRS, instead of all present SIRS

of patients who would be captured, due to >=2 additional, non-temp SIRS of patients who would be missed, insufficient SIRS to screen positive

#### Results/Outcomes

- Nearly a third (135 of the 462) of patients with a positive screen had temperature between 38 0–38 4C
- Of the 135, 38 (28%) would have screened positive for sepsis based on other SIRS criteria.
- 97 (72%) patients would have screened negative with the higher temperature threshold and therefore, would have been missed.
- 8 of 28 (29%) patients with a positive blood culture(s) would have screened negative for sepsis using the 38.5C threshold instead of 38.0C.
- Findings are supported by assessments of sepsis presence, ICD-10 codes, blood cultures, and physician clinical impressions.

#### Implications

- The data support the use of a temperature threshold less than 38.5C in pediatric sepsis screenings.
- Use of 38.0C demonstrated similar specificity to the 38.5 threshold, but greater sensitivity.
- Our investigation supports the use of a more sensitive temperature range.
- Further research into appropriate temperature values in the detection of pediatric sepsis cases may be warranted.



#### Resources

- Goldstein, B., Gifor, B., & Randolpin, A. (2005). International Pediatric Sepsis Consensus Conference: Definitions for Sepsis and Organ Dysfunction in Pediatrics. Pediatric Circlical Clare Mediatric 8(1), 93. Hartman, M. E., Linde-Zwirble, W. T., Angus, D. C., & Watson, R. S. (2013). Trends in the Epidemiology of Pediatric
- tth, A., Mccracken, C. E., Fortenberry, J. D., Hall, M., Simon, H. K., & Hebbar, K. B. (2014). Pediatric Severe Sepsi Pediatric Critical Care Medicine,15(9), 828-838.
- nochak, N., Kumar, K., Sankar, J., & Lodha, R. (2018). Abstract P-187: Sepsis-3 vs International Pediatric Sepsi Consensus Conference Definitions for Identifying Children with Septic Shock which one to use?. Pediatric Critical Care Medicine.19, 105.

Suspect sepsis. Save lives!



#### Contact Info

- Kerry Gold KTsakonas@mednet.ucla.edi
  Carson Del Greco cdelgrec@gmail.com
- Summer Gupta SCGupta@mednet.ucla.edu
- Leah Nubla LNubla@mednet.ucla.edu
- Ashley Trueblood Atrueblood@mednet.ucla.e