Acute Confusion Among the Patients in Surgical Intensive Care Units



Registered *Nurse* Chang Gung Medical Hospital Kaohsiung, Taiwan

Introduction

- An ICU(intensive care units) patient's probability of occurrence of acute confusion is higher than that of a general inpatient.
- Acute confusion is likely to not only cause accidental injury and prolong ICU stay, but also increase the mortality.

Objectives

- ➤ The new environment of ICUs, noises, illness, anxiety, pains, and 24 hours of continual nursing care result in the deprivation of sleep, affect ICU patients sleep quality and activate acute confusion.
- Accordingly, this triggers the motivation to explore it. This study incidence of acute confusion, the related factors and predictors of acute confusion.

Methods

- Research Design
- A descriptive correlational design was adopted.
- This study recruited patients, transferred to ICU after the surgery and had been in ICU for more than 24 hours as subjects, totaling 263 people.

Methods

- Sample Selection Criteria
- Participants were the patients who had been admitted to the surgical intensive care unit and staying at least 24 hours after Surgery.
- > The Sample 263 completed the short portable mental status questionnaire test.

Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index(PSQI)

- The covered domains include Sleep Quality · Sleep
 Latency · Sleep Duration · Habitual Sleep Efficiency
 · Sleep Disturbance · Use of Sleep Meds · Daytime
 Dysfunction.
- Each component score ranges from 0 (no difficulty) to 3 (severe difficulty).
- A PSQI global score >5 is considered to be suggestive of significant sleep disturbance.

Confusion assessment method for intensive care unit

- > The CAM-ICU Include four feature
- > Acute confusion is diagnosed when both Features
 - 1: Acute onset of mental status or fluctuating course and Features 2: Inattention are positive.
- Along with either <u>Feature</u> 3: Disorganized thinking or Feature 4: Altered level consciousness.

multiple regression

variables	Regression	SE	df	p-value	OR	95% C.I	95% C.I
Sedation	7.009	0.004	1.000	*800.0	1.014	0.846	0.968
Foley	2.492	0.487	1.000	<0.001*	12.086	4.649	31.420
Age	0.210	0.047	1.000	<0.001*	1.234	1.125	1.353
Sleep Latency	1.890	0.355	1.000	<0.001*	6.619	3.300	13.275
Sleep Duration	3.096	0.727	1.000	<0.001*	22.116	5.315	92.032
Sleep Disturbance	-0.881	0.383	1.000	0.021*	0.415	0.196	0.878
Daytime Dysfunction.	1.821	0.359	1.000	<0.001*	6.175	3.053	12.492
PSQI	0.518	0.101	1.000	<0.001*	1.678	1.376	2.047
Pain	0.868	7.213	1.000	<0.001*	2.383	1.570	3.617

Stepwise multiple regression

variables	Regression	SE	df	p-value	OR	95% C.I	95% C.I
						lower	upper
Age	0.185	880.0	1.000	0.002*	2.339	1.356	4.033
Foley	2.600	0.909	1.000	0.004*	13.465	2.266	79.99
PSQI	0.600	0.156	1.000	<0.001*	1.823	1.342	2.475
Pain	0.935	0.337	1.000	0.002*	2.339	1.356	4.003

Descriptive statistics

	n	Min.	Max.	The sum of score	mean	Std deviation
Age	263	20	94	6529	51.41	16.53
APACH II	263	15	34	2626	20.68	2.52
PSQI	263	2	21	1569	12.3543	4.725

Sleep quality						
one-week time interval	Sample	percentage				
0-never	32	12.2				
1- one	116	44.1				
2- two or three	74	28.1				
3- four	41	15.6				

ANOVA (a)

Model	R	R square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of The estimate
1	.389(a)	.099	.092	4.5034

a. Predictor:(constnat), Apache II

ANOVA (b)

	Sum of Square	Degree Of freedom	Mean Square	F	significance
Regression	278.005	1	278.005	13.708	.000(a)
Residual	2535.050	125	20.280		
Total	2813.055	126			

a. Predictor:(constnat), Apache II b. dependent variable: PSQI score

2014/7/25

Results

- The results showed acute confusion was 79.41% and the incidence was the highest after one day of ICU stay, accounting for up to 33.1% of the population.
- The predictor of acute confusion was Foley (catheterization) p-value, which was 0.004 (OR, 13.465; 95% CI, 2.266 ~ 79.99).

Results

- The age p-value was 0.002 (OR, 2.339; 95%
 CI, 1.356 ~ 4.033).
- The pain index p-value was 0.002(OR, 2.339; 95% CI, 1.356 ~ 4.033).
- PSQI score p-value was smaller than <0.001 (OR, 1.823; 95% CI, 1.342 ~ 2.475).

Results

- ➤ APACHE II and acute confusion there is a significant positive correlation (r = . 389, p < .000).
- > APACHE II and predictable 9.2% of the variance in acute confusion.
- Linear regression analysis APACHE II (R2 = .092%, P < .000).</p>

Conclusion

- These four variables are statistically significant and therefore can be the predictor for SICU patients with acute confusion (R2 = 0.538).
- The outcomes necessary is to recognize highrisk populations of acute confusion based on

age ,Foley , pain, PSQI

Conclusion

> This study can be used in clinical practice for early detection of high risk of acute confusion to prevent further damage so that ICU nurses can establish a care model that prevent risk factors of acute confusion and improve the quality of health care.

Limitations

- Sleeping quality of patients only is depended on their self-evaluation in response to the questionnaire.
- > It is inevitable to exist the small difference between the findings and truth.
- There could be new generalization and explanation with an objective apparatus measurement methods in the future.

- Thank the following people for their help and support for this study: Associate Professor Li Cheng Hsiao and RN Pi Yun Chen.
- ➤ Thank for your attention. If you have any question about the study .Please leave message for me .I will reply you as soon as I can. ♠

